



**[www.mbawinner.com](http://www.mbawinner.com)**

This work is the sole property of MBA Winner. No parts of it are allowed to be copied or distributed in any form and without getting written consent from MBA Winner.  
All Rights Reserved

# MBA ASSIGNMENT

## Globalization

Examining the advantages and disadvantages of globalisation: The tension between corporate expansion and the countries' societal norms

## **Introduction**

The aim of this assignment will be to examine the dimensions of globalisation by looking at its advantages and disadvantages as discussed in the literature. In particular, the paper seeks to examine the particular economic benefits that corporations are thought to gain from trading within and between countries. We also intend to assess the effects of the trends within globalisation practices on the societal norms and values of people, and, which have widely been criticised for being the disadvantages of globalisation (O'Higgins, 2003; Zekos, 2004). It is argued how corporations are not just business units that simply undertake economic activities through the production and distribution of products (Hammond and Grosse, 2003). Corporations also represent social institutions through the exercise of their own cultural values, ideology and corporate ethics, that can influence the social system of a country (Roukis, 2006; Geyer, 2003; Harris, 2002). As a result, it is widely argued how the expansion of corporations in India, for example, has had a dramatic effect in the westernisation of the people in terms of values and ideas which they were hitherto not acquainted with in the past (Waters, 2001; *Daily Mail*, 2005). Women, for example, were not supposed to work during night shifts, but, now have to do so in call centres, as this is a requirement of their contract. Also, family relations are perceived to have changed as women have become more independent from their family, with the result of often challenging already existing traditional cultural norms and values. The issue of whether such social changes can be regarded as 'disadvantages' varies in the discussion between authors (Palpacuer, 2002; Spich, 1995). Some authors for example argue how the existing social norms and value have to be appreciated for what they mean to the people, and that economic pursuit of financial growth should not regulate how such values should be lived in the first place (Roukis, 2006). Nevertheless, it is generally accepted how the capitalistic drive to economic pursuit and growth, often means the lack of *regulation* between business practices, and, which often leads to an exploitation of employees or the creation of a skill-gap between employees (Brinkman and Brinkman, 2002). Our aim is not to provide definite answers to the above questions, but to address them in their own context as represented in the literature. The first part of the essay will examine the advantages of globalisation. In particular, the areas of: a) standardisation of product and marketing practices, b) the creation of global value chains and the c) created linkages by corporations with the different financial

markets within the different countries. The second part will look at the disadvantages of globalisation. In particular, the areas of a) lack of regulation for how corporations trade in different countries, and, b) the wider social impact that globalisation has when often bypassing the existing norms and values within a society. Also the type of responsibility corporations should be keen to address as social institutions and not just as business units.

### **The Presence of Globalisation**

Globalisation is a term used to characterise the growing tendency between firms to perform their trading practices by moving outside their geographical and economic boundaries in which they exist (Waters, 2001; Brinkman and Brinkman, 2002). Globalisation is used to describe the nature of international trading that dominates corporations and how they are no longer limited to serving specific groups of consumers in one country (Leavy, 2004; Hammond and Grosse, 2003). The growth of globalisation is attributed to the economic expansions and the capitalistic drive to achieve growth by utilising existing resources as well as increasing the degree of efficiency in which operations are conducted. As a result, globalisation has also been very closely associated with outsourcing operations in which companies seek to transfer existing units of their production to different countries, where the wages of the workforce are more economically sensitive. A recent and widely studied phenomenon of such outsourcing activities has been the creation of call centres in India where large banking firms have moved their customer service support there (see Table 1).

Table 1 The number of jobs that companies have moved to India

<b>Company</b>	<b>Employees</b>
Aviva (by 2007)	7,000
HSBC	4,500
Lloyds TSB	2,500
BT	2,200
Vertex	2,000
National Rail Enquiries	1,700
Royal & Sun Alliance	1,200
Centrica	1,000
Barclays	640
Ventura	160
Capita	200

Source: *Daily Mail* (2007)

In addition to the above, it is argued how corporations endeavour to capitalise on the regulative and financial system between countries and which can differ to various degrees (Jimenez, 2000). The degree of bureaucracy and the amount of taxation that companies have to pay, for example differs between countries. Developing countries offer less heavy taxation than developed countries, and are keen to offer less bureaucratic 'red tape', with the result of making them more attractive targets (Snow, 2005). Furthermore, the rise of globalisation is also attributed to the fact that corporations do not have to be restricted within the consumers of one country for selling their products (Gereffi, 1996). By targeting different consumers and also wider markets, firms can maximise their financial profits by achieving economies of scale. An important aspect to the above is the standardisation practices that they follow, and which are cost effective, as companies do not have to dramatically change the specifications of a product. As a result, by designing advertising and marketing practices that are believed to meet the consumers' individualistic needs and culture, companies can eliminate excess stock and increase sales (Sliwa, 2007). Apart from the above issues on globalisation, it is important to acknowledge how the term has been difficult to define in the literature, because of the plurality of its meaning. Walter (2001) for example states that: "Although the word 'global' is over 400 years old...the common usage of such words as 'globalization', 'globalize' and 'globalizing' did not begin until about 1960" (p.2). Also, authors can attach different interpretations to it in a homogenous way, even though they can mean different things (Spich, 1995). As a result, authors might want to attach colorful meaning to the term and without using a consistent description that is widely adopted, or that it represents an accepted set of ideas. At the same time, authors are keen to argue for how globalization captures the overarching trend of expansion beyond localized boundaries (Walter, 2001; Robertson, 2004). Even though it is difficult to assign a homogenous meaning, authors underline how we can still appreciate an overarching representation of its meaning while acknowledging the complexities that govern it as a term. In this context, authors (Palpacuer, 2002; Geyer, 2003; Brinkman and Brinkman, 2003) tend to see discussions of globalization in a more positive way than the one described by Spich (1995), and, who argues for 'discourses' of globalization as they are shaped by myth and self-made assumptions. Having provided a short description about globalization and the key dimensions that it is thought to encompass as a term, we now move to examine in more detail its advantages and disadvantages. We

are interested in exploring how globalisation is not only characterising the internal trade between firms, but also the influence that corporations have on the societal norms and values of a people. In the section below, we will look at the advantages of globalisation by examining the economic benefits that it generates for firms. Secondly, we will look at the influence of globalisation at a social level, and how authors discuss its disadvantages in the context of having a negative impact. We discuss each of the above dimensions in turn.

### **Advantages of Globalisation**

The advantages of globalization are attributed to the new competing relations that are created between firms, and which, also help serve the consumers' needs by offering equal or better services. Firms are thought to offer more competing value for the products that consumers buy, while maintaining similar levels of quality and low prices (Gereffi, 1999). This is because of the pressure resulting from competition and which compels firms to identify more efficient ways of managing their existing resources. The fact that companies are not restricted by their geographical and economic boundaries, helps create opportunities for people that may not have been possible otherwise (Geyer, 2003). For example, we find how the technological growth in Asian markets as part of offering more attractive wages to corporations, has also meant an intense growth of education and learning that contributed to the development of new skills (Gereffi, 1996). The peoples' accumulated learning helps strengthen a country's social capital and knowledge economy, and, this can have a positive effect in the growth of the country for the long term. As a result, people might not see the need to immigrate to a different country in order to study/work with the result of helping sustain current employment rates. A complementary effect to the above is when people make domestic investments, which contributes to the country's annual Gross Domestic Product (GDP). In addition to this we also have the issue of knowledge transfer which is possible when a firm moves its production/operations plants to a different country. The corporations' presence is argued to provide a kind of 'knowledge spillover effect' to the local people (see Battu, et. al., 2004; Jaffe, et. al., 1993).

It is also argued how firms are not only being privileged by the increasing trends of globalization, but also, how they are equally being *threatened* by it. This is because

the strategic scope between firms is not just dependent on their good or bad productivity of one market, and firms cannot simply out-compete others with having knowledge of their performance of a particular market segment (Sliwa, 2007, Abeles, 2001). On a similar tone, firms can outperform competitors by finding innovative ways of production and with making better utilisation of resources. As a result, the long history of a firm's strong presence in a market does not provide assurance in that it will continue to maintain its growth (Waters, 2001). This means, that companies are facing the continuing pressure of developing innovative ways for staying ahead against competitors, and, with not relying on their already existing market-share (Robertson, 20004). Furthermore, corporations can make very different use of tangible or intangible resources through practices of globalisation. For example, by reducing operational costs in one country, firms can invest on the marketability of the products and manage to increase profits without jeopardising quality. However, it is also recognised how companies operating in the service sector have experienced a greater difficulty for maintaining the consumers' trust when shifting their operations abroad from manufacturing companies (Budhwar, 2002). A distinct example of the above is the cases of Abbey National and Barclays in England. The bank had moved large parts of their customer service to countries like India and Bangladesh. Abbey National faced criticism from its customers because of the communication language problems they experienced and which are culturally related.

In having provided a broad overview of the advantages of globalization, we now concentrate to discuss three particular dimensions that are thought to have led to its growing presence. The first dimension concerns standardisation practices where the design and manufacturing processes contribute to the production of the same product. Such products are sold to different consumers and in different countries with little or no modification on their making. Standardisation practices are particularly dominant in food related products. Firms have managed to reduce their operational costs and concentrated on creating global brands/ products that correspond to a general consumers' needs (Gereffi, 1999). For example, Palpacuer, (2002) states how Nestle and Unilever are firms that focused on "homogenous consumption patterns across countries and macro-regions" (p.46). By heavily investing on the marketing and advertisement side of the products such companies endeavour to help maintain the consumers' interest towards the brand. Coca-Cola is an additional

example,; as the features of its products do not change, regardless of where the drinks products are channelled. Palpacuer, (2002) also argues how companies that have such a global presence can also influence the consumers' *habits* of consumption at a large scale. This idea indicates the presence of power that the companies can exercise through their corporate global presence, and this is an issue we will discuss in more detail later in the essay. A similar company to above is also McDonalds, and whose competitive advantage in the market is dependent on its standardised practices that make its food services efficient. It is important to qualify how corporations that promote such standardised practices fulfil a common need that is widely represented in the market. Corporations that could want to target a niche segment of consumers, instead, are not favoured by standardised practices. As a result, we argue how practices of standardisation may favour particular firms very much depends on the nature of needs that they serve. Also, to what extent common products threaten substitutes is also a matter for consideration. According to Porter (1998) global firms that promote standardised products are threatened by substitute products, and this is why they concentrate on marketing their brand so that consumers can show loyalty to the firm and not just the product. Also it is argued that this will help sustain the consumers' buying behaviour in the long-term (Brinkman and Brinkman, 2002). Apart from standardised practices, however, another advantage of globalization is related to the creation of global value chains and this dimension plays a critical role to the operational functions of manufacturing-related firms (see Leavy, 2004). The notion of 'global value chains' is used to characterise the creation of different production parts of a corporation, and, which are partly responsible for the production of different segments of a product (Gereffi, 1999). The creation of such value chains has been partly led by the fact that corporations want to capitalise on the tangible or intangible resources of a country (Leavy, 2004). Also, to spread out the risk of potential causes of failure that could be concentrated in one manufacturing plant (Leavy, 2004). The footwear manufacturer Nike has largely capitalised on this initiative by spreading out its design plants in European or American countries, and then, managing the manufacturing parts of the products in countries like China and India (*Crossborder Monitor*, 1997). In this context, Nike footwear products are sold at premium prices even though the manufacturing costs have been very cost-effective. Palpacuer (2002) provides a similar example stating how: "a garment might today be designed in New York, produced from a fabric made

in South Korea, spread and cut in Hong Kong, assembled in mainland China, and eventually distributed in Canada” (p.46-47). Automobile manufacturers have also capitalized on such practices with the assembly plants distributed between countries. Particular components are manufactured at plants that maintain expertise and good history on the quality of specific production units. For example, car manufacturers can use German plants that specialize in the manufacturing of engines while the cars are assembled elsewhere. Another trend to globalization, in addition to the above, has been the financial links that corporations create with the financial markets in the countries they operate. Corporations can benefit from changes/differences, between the economic regulations between countries. For example, issues related to property rights, financial infrastructure, and corporate governance laws, the regulation of work practices, or issues regarding taxation and bureaucracy vary between such financial systems. By developing knowledge and links while exercising such regulations, firms develop experience and can often benefit from them.

### **Disadvantages of Globalization**

Apart from our discussion on the advantages of globalization we now turn to examine how authors have discussed the negative affects of globalization. The discussion about disadvantages, and in a similar way to discussion about advantages, is subject to interpretations between authors. There is not a widely acknowledged consistency for what the disadvantages of globalization are. Nevertheless, there is a general trend of discussion which contains common features. Such discussion concentrates on the social impact of globalization and its influence on the people’s culture, values and traditions (Sliwa, 2007). As a result, authors refer to the notions of corporate social responsibility. It is argued, how businesses are not simply representing mere economic units that simply fulfill capitalistic needs (Waters, 2001). Corporations are also social systems that contain their own ideology, culture and values that can have a wider social impact. As a result, employees do not simply constitute a mere workforce that is detached from such culture, but are influenced by such activities (Budhwar, 2002). Corporations in turn, have a greater social responsibility for being aware of how their activities influence the societal norms and values of people (Waters, 2001). Disadvantages of globalization have mainly been discussed in the context of an absence of a regulatory framework that monitors business practices (Roukis, 2006). Even though

companies are subject to social and legal laws for how they conduct their practices, the pursuit of economic expansion has showed how employees can often become vulnerable recipients of such activities. Roukis (2006) makes an interesting argument at this point stating that “a careful analysis of the nexus between fast paced technologically driven globalization and dysfunctional organizations reveal that the uncertainties created by globalization produce societal conspiracy thinking and opaque organizational structures that facilitated the emergence of criminal and dissonant behavior”. The swift pace of growth and change that governs business practices is not well known, and it is often not effectively regulated by public bodies. How corporations manage to legitimize their practices as a result becomes a politicized process. In the heart of it, there is not only a competition of power between the management and the workforce, but also, between the corporations against a government’s policies/procedures. In this sense, authors argue for how an important disadvantage of globalization is the unrealized impact that corporations have upon the environment (Sliwa, 2007). Also, the organizational difficulties governments face to negotiate with such corporations, and especially within developing countries that seek maximized inputs of investment. In an article by *Crossboarder Monitor* (1997), for example, it is stated how Nike’s outsourcing practices severely damaged its image in the public because of the way its management were treating employees. The article states how consumers often tried to boycott Nike when learning about such cases of exploitation. The article states in particular how: “In the aftermath of reports of underpaid, ill-treated workers at the plants of subcontractors in Indonesia and Vietnam, Nike scrambled to repair its public image. The negative publicity forced it to retain Andrew Young, a former US ambassador to the UN and a human rights activist to investigate the alleged abuses. These included managers’ limiting workers to two drinks of water per shift and inflicting corporal punishment on workers” (*Corsboarder Monitor*, 1997, p.8). The above example is one among others that indicate how the drive to economic expansion and the competitive pressure that firms face has had negative consequences, and especially to those most vulnerable. Furthermore, the image behind Nike’s management is also damaged by such events, even though there might not be direct involvement or knowledge of it. Furthermore, Sliwa (2007) argues that the disadvantages of globalization need to be seen in the context of social power between institutions. How the more powerful parties have vested

interests to maintain unequal relationships, with, less powerful social institutions, in order to maintain control. In particular Sliwa (2007) articulates the above thought in the following statement: “not only do the rich still need the poor, but, as those who manage multinational organizations belong to the category of “the rich”, they are also in the position to decide how poor the poor are going to remain. Because of this, the gap between those who serve global companies as “cheap labor” – and hence are largely excluded from participating in the global consumption; and those who – thanks to their high purchasing power, are important consumers at a global level, continues to exist” (p. 115). The creation of a skills-gap that is produced between the current and future workforce, is another dimension that has been underlined in the literature (O’Higgins, 2003). It is argued how the large number of job losses has had a negative effect on the lives of the people of a society. This can also lead to an increased fragmentation between classes and it becomes an important economic parameter, the particular country will have to live with in the future. In addition to the above, authors have also pointed to the environmental impacts of globalization (Waters, 2001). In particular to the consumption of natural resources that is made at an unprecedented rate, and, where little effort is made to recover the loss that is created in the environment. Robertson (2004), for example, points how “environmental problems are also both cumulative and global; they can only be addressed by co-operative global strategies that employ technology in environmentally sensitive ways. The machinery for developing and implementing such strategies barely exists...” (p. 564). As a result, companies have not developed policies for how to respond to the increasing environmental concerns, which leave people nationally or globally, vulnerable. Authors have also pointed out other types of social changes that corporations help introduce by often challenging already established traditional values and norms. The question is not so much about whether such changes help create a positive impact or not, it is rather the fact that corporations do not take into account the types of changes they want to introduce, and how this poses an increasing social challenge to the employees.

The social type of changes that Indian workers had to undergo in the last decade, as a result of the huge increase of call-centers is a good example to illustrate the above point. Snow (2005) states how “Call centers today employ over 800,000 people and occupy upwards of 65 million square feet of commercial property. These figures are

predicted to rise further over the remainder of the decade as more public and private sector organizations seek to access new ways of interacting with their customers” (p.525). One of the social challenges that Indians had to face during the country’s economic expansion, and which was coming out of the huge influx of foreign investments concerned their family life and issues of identity and status within society (Venkata and Jain, 2002). By following the pursuit of wealth, families are become more oriented towards Western ideals and paradigms (Singh, 2005; Snow, 2005). Traditional family values are gradually being replaced with a more individualistic culture which is characterized by its independence (Pradip, 2007). The older generation is argued to have much more difficulty with adapting to such swift changes than the younger generation. The lure by individuals to increase their economic wealth by working longer hours is also argued to affect relations within family lives and particularly between women. Even though the introduced changes are believed to have positive effect on the life of the people, it is also argued, that promoting a Western sense of identity and culture often bypasses their own values. Such trend of globalization is viewed as having a disadvantageous affect on the society (O’Higgins, 2003).

## **Conclusion**

The economic growth and development between corporations over the last two decades has signalled increased pursuit of corporate economic expansion, while adopting competitive strategies that go beyond geographical boundaries (Watters, 2001). Companies are no longer restricted within their economic and geographical arenas for promoting their products and for capitalising their potentials to maximise revenues. Changes in the environment and the need to gain a competitive advantage has also meant that corporations need to capitalise on their resources by becoming more efficient in the design, production and also distribution of products. The study of globalisation has signalled the increased competition between firms, the economic benefits that can be obtained from such practices, together with the socio-political effects that such practices have in the broader environment (Waters, 2001). The study of globalisation does not concern only the need to understand how companies exercise their competitive practices but also the need to appreciate the greater influence that they create, and which go beyond the needs of the immediate consumers that they serve (Gereffi, 1994; Gereffi, 1996; Roukis, 2006). Authors, for example, have argued for how globalisation has had a significant impact on the cultural norms and values of the people in the developing countries, like India or China, where corporations try to capitalise on the lower wages of the workforce (Budhwar, 2002). The aim of this assignment has been to examine the nature of globalization by looking at its advantages and disadvantages. We have concentrated on discussing the economic benefits that are believed to be gained by the various types of international trading including their impact on the people at a social level. Here we have argued how organisations are thought to possess the need for greater accountability for their corporate social responsibility. We have seen how firms often bypass cultural norms and values in countries like India. Even though the people might benefit from trends of globalisation they are also threatened by it in a social way. This is because their status and notions of identity change affect how they related within their own society.

## **References**

- Abeles, T. P. (2001) 'The impact of Globalization', *On the Horizon*, Vol. 9, No. 2, pp. 1-4.
- Battu, H. Belfield, C.R., Sloane, P.J (2004) 'Human capital spillovers in the workplace: evidence from the service sector in Britain,' *International Journal of Manpower*, Volume: 25 Issue: 1
- Brinkman, R.L. and Brinkman J. E. (2002) Corporate power and the globalization process. *International Journal of Social Economics*, Vol. 29, No. 9, pp. 730-752.
- Budhwar, P. S. (2002) Employment relations in India. *Employee Relations*, pp. 132-148
- Crossborder Monitor* (1997) 'Nike's Asia lesson: just don't do it,' *Crossborder Monitor* (10 January) Vol. 5, Issue, 39, p.8.
- Daily Mail* (2007) 'Abbey closes Indian call centres' (by James Quinn, 26 October). Accessed on 15 August 2007, URL: [http://www.thisismoney.co.uk/news/article.html?in\\_article\\_id=404606&in\\_page\\_id=2](http://www.thisismoney.co.uk/news/article.html?in_article_id=404606&in_page_id=2)
- Gereffi, G. (1994), "The organization of buyer-driven global commodity chains: How US retailers shape overseas production networks", in Gereffi, G. and Korzeniewicz, M. (Eds), *Commodity Chains and Global Capitalism*, Greenwood Press, Westport, Conn, pp. 95-123.
- Gereffi, G. (1996), "Commodity chains and regional divisions of labour in East Asia", *Journal of Asian Business*, Vol. 12 No. 1, pp. 75-112.
- Gereffi, G. (1999), "International trade and industrial upgrading in the apparel commodity chain", *Journal of International Economics*, Vol. 48 pp.37-70.
- Geyer, F. (2003) Globalization and sustainability: the cynics, the romantics and the realists. *Kybernetes*. Vol. 32, No. 9/10, pp. 1235-1252
- Guardian, The* (2007) 'Barclaycard axes 630 call centre jobs', (March 6, by Jill Treanor) Accessed online on 15 August 2007, URL: <http://business.guardian.co.uk/story/0,2027702,00.html>
- Hammond, C and Grosse, R. (2003) 'Rich man, poor man: resources on globalization' *Reference Services Review*. Vol. 31, No.3, pp. 285-295
- Harris, P. R. (2002) European challenge: developing global organizations. *European Business Review*. Vol. 14, No. 6, pp. 416-425
- Higgs, M (1996) 'Overcoming the problems of cultural differences to establish success for international management teams', *Team Performance Management: An International Journal*. Vol. 2 No.1, pp. 36-43
- Jaffe, A. B., Trajtenber, M., Henderson, R. (1993)'Geographic localization of knowledge. Spillovers as evidenced by patent citations', *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 108, No. 3. pp. 577-598
- Jimenez, M. (2000) Global change, economic restructuring and labour market issues in Mexico City. *International Journal of Manpower*. 21(6) pp. 464-480.
- Leavy, B. (2004), "Outsourcing strategies: opportunities and risks", *Strategy & Leadership*, ISSN 1087-8572, Vol. 32 No.6, pp.20-5.
- O'Higgins, E. R. E. (2003) Part 2: Global business means global responsibilities. *Corporate Governance*. Vol. 3, No. 3 pp. 52-66
- Palpacuer, F (2002) Globalization and corporate governance: issues for management researchers. *Society and Business Review*. Vol. 1 No. 1 pp. 45-61
- Porter, M.E. (1998), *The Competitive Advantage of Nations*, The Free Press, New York, NY.

- Pradip, T. (2007) 'Telecom musings: public service issues in India', *Emerald Group Publishing Limited* Vol.9, No. 2/3, pp. 97-107
- Robertson, R. (2004)'The historical context and significance of Globalization', *Development and Change*, 35 (3), pp. 557-565.
- Roukis, G. S. (2006) 'Globalization, organizational opaqueness and conspiracy', *Journal of Management Development*, Vol. 25, No.10, pp. 970-980
- Singh, S. P. (2005) 'The role of technology in the emergence of the information society in India', *The Electronic Library*, pp. 678-690
- Sliwa, M. (2007)'Globalization, inequalities, and the "Polanyi problem" ', *Critical Perspectives on international Business*, Vol.3, No.2, pp. 111-135.
- Snow, J. (2005) 'UK call centres: crossroads of an industry', *Journal of Property Investment & Finance*, Vol. 23, No.6, pp. 525-532
- Spich, R. S. (1995) Globalization folklore: problems of myth and ideology in the discourse on globalization', *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, Vol.8, No.4, pp. 6-29.
- Stauss, B and Mang, P. (1999) "Culture Shocks" in inter-cultural service encounters? *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 13, No. 4/5 1999, pp. 329-346,
- Venkata, C. S. and Jain, H. C. (2002) 'Women in trade unions in India', *International Journal of Manpower*; Volume: 23 Issue: 3, pp. 277-292
- Waters, M. (2001) *Globalization* (2nd ed) London: Routledge
- Zekos, G. I (2004) 'Ethics versus corruption in globalization', *Journal of Management Development*, Vol. 23 No. 7, pp. 631-647



[www.mbawinner.com](http://www.mbawinner.com)

This work is the sole property of MBA Winner. No parts of it are allowed to be copied or distributed in any form and without getting written consent from MBA Winner.  
All Rights Reserved